## Case 3:07-cr-50058 | Document 940 : Fleet 08/13/2008 Page 1 of 3 For the Northern District of ILLinois

## FILED

AUG 1 3 2008
Michael Jackson 3 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
Pro se Defendant
2 cast No. 07 CR- 50858-1
v. ) le: AFF: Devit in support of
) Mofion to with DRaw Plea
United States of America
Respondent 7
A Let Office Market
I, Michael Sackson, defoses and sayeth that I am the Affiant-
Pro se Desendant in the above entitled action and the Information
contained herein is true and correct and not mis leading to the
best of my knowledge, Avers that; under Rule 11(0) Pro-
SE Defendant Michael Jackson, unknowingly wavied to
SE DETENDANT PRICHARD JACKSON, ON MINOCOLLY OF SOUTH 1/60
Collaterally Attack my sentence on appeal, and I would like
to withdraw that Plea because I believe the court
lacks Surisdiction to impose any sentence.
1.) I have a slight difficulty in understanding and communicat
the things my lawyer said to me Regarding the Plea,
2.) my Attorney didn't intelligently inform me of all direct
Consequences of the flee, where I understood fully,
3.) I inknowingly sign the plea without having full Knowledge that
I also were waiving an my appeal rights, I I beginner
my Attorney that I was only waring my trial sights, had I
KNOWN with full knowledge that I was waiving my green
rights I would of never signed plea.

H) that the united States covernment did not establish that the
location where the offenses occurred came within Federal eximinal
Surisdiction under the Assimilative crimes Act, As land
Reserved or Acquired for use of the united States.
5) that the united States Government Presented absolutely no
Evidence confirming they had exclusive or concurrent Jurisdiction
over the locations where the offenses occurred in the state of
ILLinois, city of Rockford, county of winnebago.
6.) High the united states Jovernment presented no evidence
that the Legislature of the state of Itinois had ceded
Jurisdiction of the locations where offenses occurred.
7.) that the record in case number OTCR-50058-1 does not
affirmatively and properly reflect that the united states
government Satisfied exclusive Jurisdiction (via);
(1) Powers enumerated through the united state constitution;
(2) Title 40 U.S.C.S 3112 (Previously 255)
(3) Title 18 U.S.C. ), Special Territorial and maritime
Surisdiction as Required by law.
8) my signing of the Plea was involuntary due in Part that my
afformey never explained fully the commerce clause and how it
relates to my case, All he was concerned with was getting met
to sign the Plea, Had I known intelligently and been fully
informed of congressess) Intent regarding Interstate commerce
I would of Never signed the Plag

wherefore the reasons Stated above I respectfully Pray that this honorable court withdraw the Plaq that was unintelligently
in knowingly and Involuntarily signed as so described about
DEClaration under Penalty of PerJury
I, michael Sackson, declare under Penalty of Perjury & that I am
the Dro se Defendant in this matter, that I have read this
Motion (Affidavit and motion to withdraw Plea) and that the
Information in the above motions is true and correct, see Tit,
28 U.S.C. 1746 and Title and Title U.s.C. 1621.
Affiant Sayeth not
Michael Jackson
3